UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,903	12/08/2004	Catharina Svanborg	bjs-4984-4	7669
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 04/23/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	ROOKE, AGNES BEATA		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/506,903	SVANBORG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AGNES B. ROOKE	1656	

		ACINES B. INSOINE	1000
TF	e MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY F	FILED <u>14 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
applicati applicati	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on on, applicant must timely file one of the following on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no e	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la niner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of til	ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ne may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	
set forth in (b) a	.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) PPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat	
filing the	ice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter f Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	೨ posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) <u>⊠</u> TI	ney raise new issues that would require further col ney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) 🔲 TI	ney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ney are not deemed to place the application in beto opeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) 🔲 Ti	ney present additional claims without canceling a clote: see "11" below. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		ected claims.
_	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. Newly p	proposed or amended claim(s) would be all wable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the
how the	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is provus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s)	allowed: objected to:		
Claim(s)	rejected: <u>1-14, 18-21, 23-35</u> .		
	withdrawn from consideration: R OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affice because	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	idavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The red	quest for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	e attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (IDS is included.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Karen Cochrane Carls Primary Examiner, Art U	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the pending claims would not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further search and further consideration. For example, the amendment in claim 1 in reference to unsaturated "C16-C18" fatty acid "with at least one double bond in the cis configuration". Or in claim 2, amendment in regards to a co-factor that is "an unsaturated C16-C18 fatty acid with 1 to 3 double bonds in the cis configuration with the proviso that the cofactor is not C18:19 cis (oleic acid)", those amendments will require new search and new consideration. Further, for example, in claim 29, the amendment refers to alpha-lactalbumin "variant" where the amendment would introduce new issue and would require further search and consideration.

Applicants requested the return of the PTO-1449 Form filed with an IDS statement on Dec. 20, 2006. Examiner includes considered IDS with the instant office action.

Applicants requested conformation that the figures filed on Sept. 7, 2004, are acceptable by examiner. Examiner responds that figures are acceptable according to examiner.

Because the amendments to the claims are not entered, the outstanding rejections are maintained. .